

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/738,495	12/17/2003	Stefan Stoewe	WET 0121 PUS	7843
7	590 03/11/2005		EXAMINER	
Robert P. Renke			CRANMER, LAURIE K	
Suite 250 28333 Telegrap	oh Road		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Southfield, MI 48034			3636	
			DATE MAILED: 03/11/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<i>‡</i> *		Application No.	Applicant(s)	7				
2	Office Assis a Communication	10/738,495	STOEWE, STEFAN	,				
\	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Laurie K. Cranmer	3636					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	•							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 D	<u>ecember 2003</u> .						
2a) <u></u> □	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3) 🗌	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments in							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims	,						
4) 🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-20</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 17</u> is/are rejected.							
	☑ Claim(s) <u>4-16</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicat	ion Papers							
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 December 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)∐	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachmen		_						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) 🛛 Infor	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 12/07/03.		atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/738,495

Art Unit: 3636

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 15, lines 1-2 and in claim 16, lines 1-2 "the air-permeable material" has no definite antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Faust et al (`966).

The backrest cushion is item 13, the cover is item 25, the air handling device is fan 20 located in the lower region of the seat back, the air permeable region in the cover is item 27, the air distribution system comprises items 16-19.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3636

Claims 18-20 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art fails to teach, inter alia, a backrest cover made of textile material, wherein the entire backrest is air permeable and wherein the backrest cover is designed as a dust a particle filter and a noise suppressing covering.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Cremer, Eskin et al, Schwarz, and Minuth et al all teach device similar to that of the instant invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laurie K. Cranmer whose telephone number is 703-308-2115. The examiner can normally be reached on T-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter M. Cuomo can be reached on 703-308-2168. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/738,495

Art Unit: 3636

namei

Page 4

Laurie K. Cranmer Primary Examiner Art Unit 3636

LKC 3/7/05